# EARLY HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM SITE NO REFERENCES AVAILABLE

_Sit	e Name Lee's Lane Landfill		2104
t	y Louisville state KY		
Fac	ility I.D. Number <u>KYD98055</u> 7052		
Тур	e of Facility: Generator Transporter TSD		NΑ
ı.	RCRA APPLICABILITY	yes	no
	Does the facility have RCRA interim status?		X
	Did the facility ever have RCRA interim status?		X
	Does the facility have a final or post-closure permit? If so, date issued		X
	Is the facility a non-notifier that has been identified by States or EPA?		X
	Is the facility a known or possible protective filer?	<del></del>	X
	STOP HERE IF ALL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS IN SECTION I	ARE NO	
II.	FINANCIAL STATUS		
	Is the facility owned by an entity that has filed for bankruptcy under federal laws (Chapter 7 or 11) or State laws?		
-	If yes, what has it filed under? Chapter 7 Chapter 11 Other		
ııı.	ENFORCEMENT		
	RCRA Status		
	Has the facility lost authorization to operate via LOIS, 3005(c) permit denial, 3008(h) IS termination, 3005(d) permit revocation?		
	Has the facility's Interim Status been terminated via another mechanism (i.e. administrative termination)?		

#### CERCLA Status

What CERCLA financed remedial or removal activities have been initiated at the site? (RI/FS, RD/RA, O&M, forward planning, and removal; does not include enforcement or PA/SI activities)

Enforcement	Status
-------------	--------

YES NO

In general, would you characterize the facility as demonstrating an unwillingness to undertake corrective action based on prior State, CERCLA or RCRA actions?

If yes, please describe and cite the authorities exercised.

Is the owner/operator a party to any enforcement action at the site?

If not, why not?

Are any PRPs (including owner/operators) undertaking remedial studies or action in response to CERCLA enforcement authorities? What is the extent/type of work that has been completed (RI/FS, etc.) and who (generators, owner/operator, etc.) is conducting the work?

## LEE'S LANE LANDFILL Lousiville, Kentucky

Lee's Lane Landfill is a 125-acre tract along the Ohio River flood plain in Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky. It first received waste in 1948 from domestic, commercial, and industrial sources. Prior to and while the wastes were received, the site was a sand and gravel quarry. In March 1975, home onwers in Riverside Gardens, a community adjacent to the site, reported flash fires around their water heaters. After explosive levels of methane gas were detected, seven families were evacuated from their homes near the site. In April 1975, the landfill was closed.

Studies conducted by county, State, and Federal agencies documented the presence of methane and other toxic gases in the subsurface east of the site. In 1978, an extensive monitoring program was conducted to define the gas migration problem. A gas venting system was finally installed in October 1980 which, according to the Jefferson County Works Department, is operating satisfactorily.

A more recent problem associated with this site is the discovery in February 1980 of approximately 400 exposed drums of hazardous materials on the Ohio River bank adjacent to the landfill. Over 50 compounds were identified by chemical analysis. They included phenolic resins, benzene, and relatively high concentrations of copper, cadium, nickel, lead and chromium. Flash points were determined to be as low as 75°F. In October 1981, the liquid wastes were pumped from the drums and taken to an approved disposal facility. The emoty drums, as well as solid wastes, were removed from the river bank and buried on site.

Groundwater wells have been drilled under the direction of the State to monitor the water table under the site. These wells were not developed properly and therefore have limited use. Additional monitor wells are needed to properly assess the groundwater contamination at the landfill.

This site was on the Interim Priority List of 160 sites.

1	2/22/
Facility name: Legis Lan	
Location: Leuisville,	Kentucky
EPA Region: Region W	- Atlanta
Person(s) in charge of the facility:	
Name of Reviewer:	Date:
	dment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the
radiity; contamination route or major co	oncem; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)
	/.
·	·
	:
<del></del>	
Scores: S <sub>M.=3</sub> 7,52 <sub>S<sub>GW.</sub>=36.78s<sub>s</sub></sub>	$_{\text{NW}} = 5.6$
Scores: $S_M = 37.57 S_{gw} = 36.78 S_s$ $S_{FE} = NR$	$s_{W} = 5.78s_a = 55.38$

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

Ground Water Route Work Sheet							
Rating Factor	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)			
Observed Release:	0 (45)	1	45	45	3.1		
-	n a score of 45, proceed to line 4. n a score of 0, proceed to line 2.						
2 Route Characteristics  Depth to Aquifer of  Concern	0: 1 2 3	2.		6	3.2		
Net Precipitation Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	1 .		3 3			
Physical State	0 1 2 3	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3			
	Total Route Characteristics Score			15			
3 Containment	0 1 2 3	1		3	3.3		
Waste Characteristics Toxicity/Persistence Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 3 6 9 12 15 <b>(3</b> ) 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (8)	· 1	8 8	- 18- - 8	3.4		
	Total Waste Characteristics Score		26	26 <sup>:</sup>			
Ground: Water: Use- Distance to Nearest: Well / Population: Served:	0: 1: 2: (3): 0  4: 6: 8. (0): 12: 16: 18: 20: 24: 30: 32: 35: 40.	3:- 1 <sup>-</sup>	9	9 4 <b>0</b> .	<b>3.5</b> :		
	Total-Targets: Score <del>:</del>		19	49			
6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5 2 2 3 c 57,330							
7 Divide line 6 by 57,330 and multiply by 100 $s_{gw} = 38.78$							

FIGURE 2'
GROUND: WATER: ROUTE WORK SHEET

	Surface Water Route Work Sheet								
	Rating Factor		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)			
	Observed Release	<u>.</u>	<b>3</b> 45	1	Ò	45	4.1		
			n a value of 45, proceed to line 4. n a value of 0, proceed to line 2.						
2	Route Characterist Facility Slope an Terrain		ening: 0 1 2 3	1	3	3	4.2		
	1-yr. 24-hr. Rainf Distance to Near Water			1 2	26	3			
	Physical State		0 1 2 (3)  Total Route Characteristics Score	1	3 14	3 15			
3	Containment	L.,,,,,	0 1 2 3	1	3	3	4.3		
4	Waste Characteris Toxicity/Persiste Hazardous Waste Quantity	ence	0 3 6 9 12 15 (13) 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (3)	1	18	18 8	4.4		
		·	Total Waste: Characteristics: Score		26	26	-		
5	Targets: Surface: Water: U Distance: to: a: Se Environment: Population: Serve to: Water: Intake Downstream:	nsitive ed/Distan	0 1 <b>2</b> 3: 0 1 2: 3: 0 4 6 8 10 12 16: 18 20 24 30: 32 35: 40	3. 2. 1	© 0	9 <sub>7</sub> 6: 	4.5		
			Total: Targets: Score:		6	5 <b>5</b> -			
<u>6</u>	6 If line 1 is 45, multiply 1 x 4 x 5 If line 1 is 0, multiply 2 x 3 x 4 x 5 64,350								
I	7 Divide line 6 by 64,350 and multiply by 100 S <sub>SW</sub> = 10,18								

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

Air Route Work Sheet							
Rating Factor		Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
1 Observed Release	•	0 45	1	45	45	5.1	
Date and Location	: Ma	uch 1975 - On-s	te ?	395 m	outo	ing wells	
Sampling Protoco	: 5+	and and methods	per F	ederal	) Re	jistr	
	_	0. Enter on line 5. ceed to line 2.					
Waste Characteris Reactivity and Incompatibility	stics	0 1 2 3	1	1	3	5.2	
Toxicity Hazardous Waste Quantity	e	0 1 2 ③ 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 ⑤	<b>3</b> ) 1	9-03	<b>9</b> 8		
		Total Waste Characteristics Score		18	20		
Targets Population Within 4-Mile Radius Distance to Sens		0 9 12 15 18: 27 24 27 30 0 1 2 3	1	21	3 <b>0</b>	5.3	
Environment Land Use:		0 1 2 3	1	3	3.		
-		Total-Targets: Score	-	24	39:		
4 Muitiply 1 x	2[ x 3]	-		19,4%	35,100		
$\boxed{5} \text{ Divide line } \boxed{4} \text{ by 35,100 and multiply by 100} \qquad \qquad \text{S}_{a} = \boxed{55.38}$							

FIGURE 9: AIR: ROUTE WORK: SHEET

	S.	S <sup>2</sup>
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)	38.78	1503.89
Surface Water Route Score (S <sub>SW</sub> )	10.18	103,63
Air Route-Score (Sa)	55.38	3066.94
$s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2$		4674.46
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		68,37
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 = s_M =$		39.52

FIGURE 10 WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING S<sub>M</sub>

Fire and Explosion Work Sheet										
Rating Factor	Assigned Value Multi- (Circle One) plier			Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)			
Containment	1			3			1		3	7.1
2. Waste Characteristics.  Direct Evidence: Ignitability Reactivity: Incompatibility Hazardous Waste: Quantity		1	3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3	4	5 6 7	7 8.	1 1 1 1	7	3 3 3 3 8	· 7.2
	Total Was	ite C	harac	terisi	ics Sco	ore			20	
3 Targets Distance to Nearest	o	1	2^ 3	4			1		5	7.3
Population Distance to Nearest	0		2 3	•					3	
Building Distance to Sensitive	0	1	2 3				1		3	
Environment Land Use	0	1	2 3				1		3	
Population Within 2-Mile Radius	. 0		2 3.	4	5		1		5	
Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius	0	1	2 3.	4 !	5		1		5	
										:
·										
	То	tal. Ta	argets	: Scc	re·				24	
Multiply 1 x 2 x 3									1,440	
5. Divide line: 4 by 1,440 and multiply by 100 SFE =										

FIGURE 11
FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

					_		rk Sh	eet	h a la i	-	14	
	Rating Factor		Circ			alue (e)			Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section
1	Observed Incident	0				45			1		45	8.1
	If time is 45; proceed to the is 10, proceed to 11.							<u>-</u> .				
2	Accessibility	0	1	2	3.				1		3.	8.2
3	Containment	0	1	5					1		15 <sup>.</sup>	8.3
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity	0	1	2.	3				5		15	8.4
5	Targets Population Within a	0	1	2	3	4	5		4		20	8.5
	1-Mile Radius Distance to a Critical Habitat	0	1	2	3				4.		12	
										- · · ••		
						-						•
				•							٠.	
		Tota	ai. T	arç	ets	r Sco	re÷				32:	
6	If line 1 is 45; multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply	1 x 4 2 x 3	<b>x</b> .	5	I ×	5					21,600.	
7]	Divide: line: 6 by 21,600	and multipl	ָט ע	y. 1	00.				soc -			

FIGURE 12
DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET

#### DOCUMENTATION RECORDS FOR HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of these records is to provide a convenient way to prepare an auditable record of the data and documentation used to apply the Hazard Ranking System to a given facility. As briefly as possible summarize the information you used to assign the score for each factor (e.g., "Waste quantity = 4,230 drums plus 800 cubic yards of sludges"). The source of information should be provided for each entry and should be a bibliographic—type reference that will make the document used for a given data point easier to find. Include the location of the document and consider appending a copy of the relevant page(s) for ease in review.

FACILITY N	AME: Lex	's Leme	- Landsi	//		
LOCATION:	Louis	ille, 12	entucky		-	
	•					

#### GROUND WATER ROUTE.

#### 1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Concaminants decected (5 maximum):

Trichbrofluoromethane Chromium

Dichlorodifluoromethane Arsenic

Phenol

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:

Compounds detected in on site monitoring wells-

#### 2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

## Depth to Aquifer of Concern

Name/description of aquifers(s) of concern:

Depth(s) from the ground surface to the highest seasonal level of the saturated zone [water table(s)] of the aquifer of concern:

Depth: from the ground surface to the lowest point of waste disposal/ storage:

Nec Precipitation
Mean annual or seasonal precipitation (list months for seasonal):
Mean annual lake or seasonal evaporation (list months for seasonal):
Net precipitation (subtract the above figures):
Soil type in unsaturated zone:
Soll type in disactrated zone:
Permeability associated with soil type:

## Physical State

Physical state of substances at time of disposal (or at present time for generated gases):

#### 3 CONTAINMENT

#### Containment

Merhod(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

Method with highest score:

#### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

#### Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) eyaluaced:

Cheemium

Arsonic

Phenol

Compound with highest score:

Chromium

#### Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

47,000 Sallons \* 496,800 tons.

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

\* Eckhardt Report-Sept 1979 \*\* State inventory during removal operations

#### TARGETS

#### Ground Water Use

Use(s) of aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius of the facility:

Drinking water - Municipal supply lines do not Min to all houses of close to the flood wall.

### Distance to Nearest Well

Location of nearest well drawing from aquifer of concern or occupied

building not served by a public water supply: - 5+A Div. November/December, 1978 field envestigation.

Distance to above well or building:

>1000 ft

## Population Served by Ground Water Wells Within a 3-Mile Radius

Identified water-supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius and populations served by each:

54H Div. 1978 sampling involgation

Computation of land area irrigated by supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius, and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

Total population served by ground water within a 3-mile radius:

0.8. Off - Calculated from 16 houses (sampled in 1978) × 3.8 people/house

#### SURFACE WATER ROUTE

#### 1. OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected in surface water at the facility or downhill from it (5 maximum):

No

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:

2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

## Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain

Average slope of facility in percent:

12-20%

Name/description: of nearest downslope surface water:

Ohio River

Average slope of terrain between facility and above—cited surface water abody in percent:

16%

Is the facility located either totally or partially in surface water?

Is the facility completely surrounded by areas of higher elevation?

yes

1-Year 24-Hour Rainfall in Inches

2.75 mcles

Distance to Nearest Downslope Surface Water

<100 st

Physical State of Waste

Liquid - 55 gallon drums sampled by state

3 CONTAINMENT

## Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

Landfill

Method with highest score:

Landfill - Site is on the bank of the Ohio River

No line present or leachate diversion

Fact of the Dete is in the logic flood plain

#### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

#### Toxicity and Persistence:

Compound(s) evaluated

Chromium

phenal

Benzone

Cadmium

Compound with highest score:

Chronium

## Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

see ground water route

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

see socynd water route

5 TARGETS

#### Surface Water Use-

Use(s) of surface water within 3 miles downstream of the hazardous substance:

Recreation D

Is there cidal influence?

NO

## Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

N/A

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wesland, if I mile or less:

NA

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species or mational wildlife refuge, if I mile or less:

N/A

## Population Served by Surface Water

Location(s) of water-supply intake(s) within: 3 miles (free-flowing bodies) or 1 mile (static water bodies) downstream of the hazardous: substance and population served by each intake:

None

Computation of land area irrigated by above—cited intake(s) and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

N/A

Total population served:

NA

Name/description of nearest of above water bodies:

N/X

Distance to above-cited intakes, measured in stream miles.

N/A\_\_\_\_

l	OBSERVED	RELEASE

Concaminants decected: bytadrene
Heptone dichloroethone
Methane benzene
Vinyl Chloride ethyl benzene
Date and location of decection of concaminants

March 1975 - On site gas monitoring wells

Methods used to detect the contaminants:

Gas Chromatograph/Muss Spectameter Scan

Racionale for accribucing the contaminants to the site:

On site wells were sampled

2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Reactivity and Incompatibility

Most reactive compound:

Vinif Chloride - NFPA noting of I.

Most incompatible pair of compounds:

None Known

## Toxicity

Most toxic compound:

Vinil Chloride

## Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous waste:

See ground water noute

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

see ground water route

#### 3 TARGETS

## Population Within 4-Mile Radius

Circle radius used, give population, and indicate how determined:

0 to 4 mi

0 to Lai

0 to 1/2 mi 0 to 1/4 mi

## Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water werland, if I mile or less:

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species, if I mile or

#### Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if I mile or less:

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if I mile or less:

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less:

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?